Experimental Study on Loading Rate Dependent Mechanical Behavior of Artificially Bounded Geomaterials



ZAIN MAQSOOD (Outline of doctoral thesis, September 2019)

Department of Civil Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Japan

Introduction

In the present study, an arduous attempt has been made to unveil the salient aspects of time dependent mechanical behavior of two different types of laboratory produced geomaterials, viz. **Gypsum Mixed Sand** (GMS) and Cement Treated Sand (CTS); by performing a wide range of laboratory tests including monotonic, creep and cyclic loading tests under unconfined and confined conditions.

Effects of ageing on the mechanical behavior

A noticeable reduction in peak strength of GMS-MP1 was observed during the first month of curing. However, continuous increase in the peak strength values of CTS was observed in the first month of curing. No further effects of ageing on the UCS of GMS and CTS were witnessed after first month.









Different stages of specimen preparation



Loading rate dependency of GMS and CTS

Significant effects of loading rate on UCS and stressstrain responses of GMS were witnessed for GMS. An increasing trend of UCS and pre-peak stiffness was

observed with the increase in loading rate, and the effects of loading rate on the mechanical behaviour of GMS were divided into three distinct zones of strain rates, viz. Zones 1, 2 and 3.

The effects of loading rate on the peak strength values and stress-strain response of CTS are relatively limited compared with GMS. In comparison with GMS, the post-peak strain softening in CTS specimens is also not greatly affected by the loading rates.

Behavior of GMS under creep and cyclic loading

The behavior of GMS under unconfined creep loading is very unpromising, as a small normalized creep load is sufficient enough to cause a creep failure within a relatively shorter duration of time, viz. about 9 days. A unique relationship between the normalized failure stress and instantaneous failure strain rate of GMS specimens tested under unconfined creep and cyclic loading, and the behavior of GMS under unconfined creep and cyclic loading conditions are similar to each other and distinctively different than unconfined monotonic tests.

